


[Print](#) | [Logout](#) | [Help](#)
[View Incident](#)
[Home](#) » [Administrative Investigations IAD/COPA](#) » [View Incident](#)
[Print Face Sheet](#)
[Print Current Case Summary](#)
[< Return](#)
[Incident Review](#)
[Investigation](#)

Reporting Category

Category	Subcategory	Situation	Victim/Offender Armed?	Weapon Types	Weapon Other	Weapon Recovered?	Deceased?
05P UNNECESSARY DISPLAY OF WEAPON / ON DUTY	-	NO ARREST	-	-	-	-	-

row(s) 1 - 1 of 1

Log No: 1038330 Type:CR

Accused Members

Review Name	Status	Accused Overall Finding	Recommended Penalty	No. of Days	Date/Time Served	Created Date	Complimentary History
View ROUMBOS, VASELIOS	CLOSED/FINAL	NOT SUSTAINED	-	-	-	24-JUL-2010	N/A
View PADAR, JAMES	CLOSED/FINAL	NOT SUSTAINED	-	-	-	28-JUN-2012	N/A

row(s) 1 - 2 of 2

Attachments

No.	Type	Related Person	No. of Pages	Narrative	Original in File	Entered By	Entered Date/Time	Status	Approve Content?	Approve Inclusion?
View 1	FACE SHEET	N/A	-	-	-	WALSH, WILLIAM	JUL-24-2010 16:05	-	-	-
View 2	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION	N/A	1	Supervisor Davis	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:24	APPROVED	-	YES
View 3	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION	N/A	-	-	-	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:19	-	-	-
View 4	LETTER TO COMPLAINANT	N/A	2	This letter was sent to Complainant [REDACTED] in an attempt to elicit her cooperation in the investigation which, up to this point, had not been forthcoming.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:26	APPROVED	-	YES
View 5	U.S.P.S. (USPS) TRACKING REPORT	N/A	1	These tracking records from the United States Postal Service indicate that Complainant [REDACTED] did in fact receive the letter inviting her participation in the development of her complaint investigation.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:27	APPROVED	-	YES
View 6	SEARCH WARRANT	N/A	10	This documentation, obtained from P.O. Lori Connolly, #4635, Unit #189, lists the officers involved in the alleged incident and their purpose in being present at that location.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:28	APPROVED	-	YES
View 7	CONSENT FOR AUDIO RECORDED INTERVIEW	N/A	1	With this agreement Complainant [REDACTED] consented to have an audio recording made of her interview.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:29	APPROVED	-	YES
View 8	SWORN AFFIDAVIT FROM COMPLAINANT	N/A	1	This document verifies Complainant [REDACTED]'s solemn belief that her descriptions of the event and those who	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:29	APPROVED	-	YES

View	9	PHOTO IDENTIFICATION	N/A	1	participated in it were true to the best of her knowledge. Complainant [REDACTED] presented a State of Illinois Identification card as her photo-identifying document. The card was set to expire on 08 JAN 14 and its serial number was listed as: [REDACTED]	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:30	APPROVED -	YES
View	10	AUDIO INTERVIEW	N/A	1	This is the audio portion of Complainant [REDACTED] interview. In it Complainant [REDACTED] stipulated, then elaborated on the variety of alleged behavior on the part of officers who had entered her home.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:31	APPROVED -	YES
View	11	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	28	Transcript	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	DEC-06-2011 10:32	APPROVED -	YES
View	12	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	2	This is a request sent to a commander/supervisor for a report from an accused officer, who in this instance is Officer Vaselio Roubus, #19380. Officer Roubus is being asked to respond to allegations made by Complainant [REDACTED].	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 12:47	APPROVED -	YES
View	13	NOTIFICATION OF CHARGES/ALLEGATIONS	N/A	1	This is a form sent to Officer Roubus stating the allegations (searching, pointing weapon, handcuffing -all without apparent justification) to which the officer's response is requested.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 12:49	APPROVED -	YES
View	14	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS	N/A	1	This form contains the "Garrity Rights" to be delivered to the accused officer (P.O. Roubus) to remind him that his response is requested within the context of his legal responsibilities and opportunities.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 12:51	APPROVED -	YES
View	15	WAIVER OF COUNSEL/REQUEST TO SECURE COUNSEL	N/A	1	This form allows the accused officer to waive or to secure legal counsel. Officer Roubus requested to secure counsel.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:09	APPROVED -	YES
View	16	ACCUSED REPORT	N/A	1	In this report from Accused Officer Vaselios Roubus, the accused officer provides a narrative of the incident from his perspective and denies the allegations put forward by Complainant [REDACTED].	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:11	APPROVED -	YES
View	17	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	2	This request was sent to various officers who were listed on the warrant: Sgt. James PADAR, #1210, and Officers Michael KILLEEN, #19411, Anthony HERNANDEZ, #11807, Craig	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:17	APPROVED -	YES

View	18	WITNESS REPORT	N/A	1	BROWN, #14136 and Kevin DRUMGOOLE, #19047. In this report Sgt. PADAR and denied that any officer committed the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED].	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:19	APPROVED -	YES
View	19	WITNESS REPORT	N/A	1	In this report, Officer KILLEEN denied allegations, saying he did not observe any officer perform any of the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED].	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:20	APPROVED -	YES
View	20	WITNESS REPORT	N/A	1	In this report Officer DRUMGOOLE denied any officer perform any of the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED].	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:23	APPROVED -	YES
View	21	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	2	This is an additional request to Officer Craig BROWN, #14136, from whom no response was received from the initial request sent to the involved officers as a group.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:24	APPROVED -	YES
View	22	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	2	This is an additional request to Officer Anthony HERNANDEZ, #11807, from whom no response was received from the initial request sent to the involved officers as a group.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:26	APPROVED -	YES
View	23	REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT	N/A	2	This is an additional request for further information about assigned equipment. Since Sgt. Padar was the supervisor at the scene of the incident, he was chosen to respond to the question of what weaponry and equipment was present and in use during the incident.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:29	APPROVED -	YES
View	24	NOTIFICATION OF CHARGES/ALLEGATIONS	N/A	1	This notification sent to Sgt. PADAR addresses the question of authorized or unauthorized equipment used by officers during the incident, specifically the presence of one or more laser-sighted rifle scopes.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:31	APPROVED -	YES
View	25	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS	N/A	1	This form, in defining the parameters of Sgt. PADAR's response, outlines his responsibilities in doing so.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:33	APPROVED -	YES
View	26	WAIVER OF COUNSEL/REQUEST TO SECURE COUNSEL	N/A	1	This form offered Sgt. PADAR the choice of either waiving or securing legal counsel to advise him on the manner and content of his response. Sgt. PADAR chose to secure legal counsel for this purpose.	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:34	APPROVED -	YES
View	27	ACCUSED REPORT	N/A	1	While he called his document a "Witness Report", Sgt. PADAR was fully aware of his role in submitting this report for further clarifying data based	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:37	APPROVED -	YES

View	28	WITNESS REPORT	N/A	1	on his knowledge and responsibility as supervisor of all Department personnel at the scene during the incident. In this report Officer Craig BROWN, #14136 denied the allegations and stated that he saw no officers perform any of the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED].	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUN-27-2012 13:38	APPROVED -	YES
View	29	WITNESS REPORT	N/A	1	P.O. Hernandez, #11807	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUL-18-2012 11:51	APPROVED -	YES
View	30	PHOTOGRAPH	N/A	23	Exit photographs of residence taken by search warrant team	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUL-18-2012 11:53	APPROVED -	YES
View	31	INVESTIGATOR CASE LOG	N/A	1	-	YES	KAMALICK, DENNIS	JUL-18-2012 11:54	APPROVED -	YES
View	-	SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST	N/A	6	-	NO	DAVIS, LORENZO	JUL-18-2012 13:46	APPROVED -	YES

row(s) 1 - 32 of 32

Internal Use Only

No Internal Use Only attachments available.

Print Accused Appeal Forms

No Accused Appeal forms available for this Log No.

Related Incidents[TIP](#) Related Incidents listed below are for reference only. These may be viewed using the related application search screens.

No Related Incidents associated with this Log No.

Status History[TIP](#) Every employee included in the Status History is subject to be called for legal or administrative proceedings.

Resulting Status	Status Date/Time	Created By	Position	Employee No.	Assigned/ Detailed Unit	Comments
CLOSED AT C.O.P.A.	10-AUG-2012 08:42	MCGHEE, CYNTHIA	CLERK 3	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
CLOSED/FINAL	10-AUG-2012 08:42	MCGHEE, CYNTHIA	CLERK 3	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING IMPLEMENT ACTION	09-AUG-2012 16:55	LERNER, ELIZABETH	SUPERVISING INV COPA	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING C.O.P.A. COORDINATOR INCIDENT REVIEW	07-AUG-2012 10:39	MCGHEE, CYNTHIA	CLERK 3	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING REVIEW AFTER COMMAND CHANNEL (C.O.P.A.)	06-AUG-2012 10:10	MAHONEY, DANIEL	PO LEGAL OFF 2	[REDACTED]	121 / -	There is a non-concurrence report
PENDING SUPERINTENDENT DECISION	03-AUG-2012 13:01	ROTI, NICHOLAS	CHIEF	[REDACTED]	188 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	30-JUL-2012 17:45	CALLOWAY, KEITH	DEP CHIEF	[REDACTED]	188 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	27-JUL-2012 16:06	O GRADY, JAMES	COMMANDER	[REDACTED]	189 / -	-
PENDING COMMAND CHANNEL REVIEW	24-JUL-2012 15:40	MAHONEY, DANIEL	PO LEGAL OFF 2	[REDACTED]	121 / -	-
PENDING ADVOCATE REVIEW	24-JUL-2012 08:09	HOFFMANN, KATHLEEN	POLICE OFFICER	[REDACTED]	121 / -	-
PENDING REVIEW INCIDENT (I.A.D./DISTRICT USE)	19-JUL-2012 12:10	HENDERSON, PAMELA	CLERK 3	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
CLOSED AT C.O.P.A.	19-JUL-2012 12:08	HENDERSON, PAMELA	CLERK 3	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING REVIEW INCIDENT (C.O.P.A. USE ONLY)	18-JUL-2012 13:46	DAVIS, LORENZO	SUPERVISING INV COPA	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING INVESTIGATIVE REVIEW	18-JUL-2012 13:39	KAMALICK, DENNIS	INVESTIGATOR I COPA	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING INVESTIGATION	27-JUL-2010 12:02	WEEDEN, WILLIAM	DEPUTY CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING ASSIGN INVESTIGATOR	26-JUL-2010 11:46	DUFFY, MICHAEL	COOR /INVESTIGATIONS	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING ASSIGN TEAM	25-JUL-2010 12:28	FREEMAN, NATHANIEL	SUPERVISING INV COPA	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-
PENDING SUPERVISOR REVIEW	24-JUL-2010 16:10	WALSH, WILLIAM	INVESTIGATOR 3 COPA	[REDACTED]	113 / -	-

PRELIMINARY

24-JUL-2010 16:05 WALSH, WILLIAM INVESTIGATOR 3 COPA

113 / - -

row(s) 1 - 19 of 19

Command Channel Reviewers

Accused Name	Sequence No.	Reviewer
ROUMBOS, VASELIOS	1	O GRADY, JAMES
ROUMBOS, VASELIOS	2	CALLOWAY, KEITH
ROUMBOS, VASELIOS	3	ROTI, NICHOLAS
PADAR, JAMES	1	O GRADY, JAMES
PADAR, JAMES	2	CALLOWAY, KEITH
PADAR, JAMES	3	ROTI, NICHOLAS

row(s) 1 - 6 of 6

User: [REDACTED]
Module: 15280 \$Revision: 1.252 \$

Copyright © 2002, All rights reserved.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO
1038330TYPE
CRDATE OF REPORT
18-JUL-2012

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO: CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
KAMALICK, DENNIS	9183			113	

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS	S. WOLCOTT AVE, CHICAGO, IL 60636	DATE / TIME	24-JUL-2010 13:07	BEAT	726
------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	-------------------	------	-----

ACCUSED

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY ?	SWORN ?
ROUMBOS, VASELIOS D	9161	19380		189		M / WHI		27-JUL-1998	YES	YES
PADAR, JAMES	9171	1210		189		M / WHI		27-JUL-1998	YES	YES

REPORTING PARTY

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
	S. WOLCOTT AVE CHICAGO, IL			F / BLK	

VICTIMS

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
UNKNOWN				/	/

WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
	S. WOLCOTT AVE CHICAGO, IL			F / BLK	
KILLEEN, MICHAEL	RANK: 9161, STAR NO: 19411, EMP NO			M / WHI	
HERNANDEZ, ANTHONY	RANK: 9161, STAR NO: 11807, EMP NO			M / S	
BROWN, CRAIG	RANK: 9161, STAR NO: 14136, EMP NO			M / BLK	
DRUMGOOLE, KEVIN	RANK: 9161, STAR NO: 19047, EMP NO			M / BLK	

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO

1038330

TYPE

CR

DATE OF REPORT

18-JUL-2012

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

ALLEGATIONS

See additional pages

SUMMARY

See additional pages

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1, 10,	6, 16, 18, 19, 20, 27, 28, 29	30	31

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings:


Accused: P.O. Vaselios Roumbos, #19380

Allegations 1 – 3: Not Sustained

Accused: Sgt. James Padar, #1210

Allegation 1: Not Sustained

Approved:



DATE INITIATED
(Date incident was received for investigation)

27-JUL-2010

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

18-JUL-2012

ELAPSED TIME
(Total time expressed in days)

722

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE



ALLEGATIONS:

On 24 July 2010, at 1605 hours, Complainant [REDACTED] called this office, spoke with Investigator William Walsh, and registered a complaint against Police Officer Vasilio Roubos, #19380 who carried out a warrant to search her place of residence. Complainant [REDACTED] stated that on 24 July 2010, at approximately 1300 hours, at [REDACTED] South Wolcott Avenue, Officer Roubos:

- 1) searched the residence of Complainant [REDACTED], unnecessarily breaking numerous personal items,
- 2) pointed a weapon at a child, 03 YOA and
- 3) handcuffed several children under 12 YOA without justification.

It was further alleged that during the incident Sergeant James Padar, #1210:

- 1) utilized a rifle with a laser sighted rifle scope,

INVESTIGATION:

In her Interview, Complainant [REDACTED] stated that on the above date and time she was upstairs in her home, heard a commotion on the ground floor and went down to see what was happening. She was met by a police officer pointing a gun at her. She continued downstairs on his verbal command and saw several children, under twelve years of age, handcuffed on the front porch. Various family members filtered into the living room and an officer asked Complainant [REDACTED] to identify them and to state how many people lived at the address and how many were at home at that point in time. Complainant [REDACTED]'s sister was then allowed to take the younger members of the household away, so they were then released and went with her. Complainant [REDACTED]'s brother brought Complainant [REDACTED]'s three year-old daughter down stairs and as he did so, holding the child in front of him. An officer pointed a rifle at the child and Complainant [REDACTED] saw a bright red spot, as if from an intense beam of light, appear on the child's chest. Both Complainant [REDACTED] and her brother carrying the child called out that she was only three and that the officer had no reason to be aiming a weapon at her. Complainant [REDACTED]'s sister returned after taking the children to her home across the street. Officers went through the house, including the upstairs. When the officers had ascertained that there was no one upstairs except for a twelve year-old female cousin of Complainant [REDACTED], they returned to the main floor. While the officers were upstairs, however, they did awaken the twelve year-old female, who had been asleep in bed at the time. Gradually more relatives emerged from different parts of the house. Complainant [REDACTED] was shown a warrant justifying the presence of officers in her home and on that document she saw the name of "Officer Roubos." After about another thirty minutes the officers left but the house remained in disorder with items upturned and strewn about. Complainant [REDACTED] said glasses were thrown from dresser tops and broken on the floor. When asked whether she meant drinking glasses or eyeglasses Complainant [REDACTED] said both kinds of glasses were broken, but added that as far as she knew no one was manhandled or maltreated in any way and that no one was injured during the incident. (Attachment 10)

INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

Log 1038330

A copy of a **Search Warrant** was obtained from Unit #189, or the Narcotics and Gang Unit. Part of the narrative of the warrant request described a drug transaction observed by an undercover police officer at that location. The document further indicated that the following Department members participated in the search of the premises: **Vaselios Roubos, #19380; Michael Killeen, #19411; Anthony Hernandez, #11807; Craig Brown, #14136; Kevin Drumgoole, #19047; and Sergeant James Padar, #1210.** (Attachment 6)

A number of **exit photographs** were taken at the end of the search, which do not display the extent of disarray and destruction alleged by Complainant [REDACTED] (Attachment 30)

The **Witness Report** provided by Sergeant **Padar** stated that at no time did Officer Roubos, or any other officer, break or damage items in the residence of Complainant [REDACTED] nor did anyone handcuff minors, or point weapons at any child. (Attachments 18, 30)

The **Witness Report** provided by **Officer Killeen** denied observing Officer Roubos, or any other officer at the scene, commit any of the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED] (Attachment 19)

The **Witness Report** provided by **Officer Drumgoole** denied observing Officer Roubos, or any other officer at the scene, commit any of the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED] (Attachment 20)

The **Witness Report** provided by **Officer Brown** denied observing Officer Roubos, or any other officer at the scene, commit any of the actions alleged by Complainant [REDACTED] (Attachment 28)

The **Witness Report** provided by **Officer Hernandez** did not recall the incident. (Attachment 29)

The **Accused Report** provided by **Officer Roubus** denied all allegations and asserted that Officer Roubus' ordered presence at the scene was specific to the interior search. Upon completion of the search, a copy of the warrant was left with Complainant [REDACTED] which displayed Officer Roubus' name as the affiant. (Attachment 16)

The **Accused Report** provided by **Sergeant Padar**, who was in charge of determining what equipment was to be utilized by each officer involved in this incident, stated that no laser-targeting mechanism, or assault rifle, was assigned, or used, by him during the incident. (Attachment 27)

CONCLUSION:

Officer Vasilios Roumbos, #19380 Allegations 1–3: Not Sustained — As to his searching Complainant [REDACTED] residence and breaking several items, pointing a gun at a child and handcuffing several children, there remains only Officer Roumbos' personal word against that of Complainant [REDACTED]. There is no sufficient evidence to either prove or disprove any of these allegations against this officer.

Sergeant James Padar, #1210 Allegation 1: Not Sustained — As to the possessing and pointing of a laser-targeted assault rifle at a child, there is no sufficient evidence to either prove or disprove any of these allegations against any officers present at the scene.

Complainant [REDACTED] revealed, throughout her interview, a tendency to reverse her position (for example stating that she knew that an officer stepped on her sleeping younger cousin while later claiming that she only heard, second-hand, about such an occurrence; or initiating her complaint by attesting that officers were aggressive, pointing weapons at children, taking children outside and handcuffing them, then later stating that as far as she knew no one was manhandled or maltreated in any way and that no one was injured during the incident), or a tendency to alter information previously provided (for example stating that one officer possessed a laser targeted assault rifle and aimed it at her daughter while later stating that three officers possessed such weapons and aimed them at her daughter).

Notwithstanding the varieties of inconsistencies, and the frequency of their occurrence, in Complainant [REDACTED]'s account of the incident, her complaint was registered as valid and processed for investigation. The lack, however, of any independent eye-witness, or any video recording of any phase of the incident, renders the existing personal evidence in and of itself insufficient to act as a reasonably reliable basis from which to conclusively confirm or deny these allegations.